

# SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON PRODUCT SPACES BY THE SETTING OF RHOADES

**Sanjay Kumar Gupta**

*Department of Mathematics, RustamJi Institute of Technology (India)*

## ABSTRACT

*Rhoades discussed a number of fixed point theorems dealing with contractive conditions with rational expressions. In an analogous manner we define mappings on product spaces which satisfy such contractive like conditions in the first variable, and generalize the result of Nadler to such mappings. Here we discuss only those conditions which involve a single mapping. In the Nadler's result we enlarge the class of mappings by Rhoades type contractive conditions in the first variable and the class of metric spaces  $Z$  by the class of uniform spaces.*

**Keywords:** *Complete Metric Space, Fixed Point Property, Locally Compact Space, Product Space, Uniformly Continuous Mapping.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The fixed point property (f. p. p.) is not necessarily preserved under the Cartesian product of spaces [2,3]. It is preserved when the maps  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  have special contraction properties. Nadler's results are in this direction. Nadler's main results are as follows:

### 1.1 Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Let  $A_i: X \rightarrow X$  be a function with at least one fixed point  $a_i$  for each  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $A_0: X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction mapping with fixed point  $a_0$ . If the sequence  $\{A_i\}$  converges uniformly to  $A_0$ , then the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $a_0$ .

### 1.2 Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a locally compact metric space, let  $A_i: X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction mapping with fixed point  $a_i$  for each  $i=1, 2, \dots$ . If the sequence  $\{A_i\}$  converges pointwise to  $A_0$ , then the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  converges to  $a_0$ .

### 1.3 Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $Z$  a metric space which has the f.p.p. and  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a contraction in the first variable.

- (a) If  $f$  is uniformly continuous, then  $f$  has a fixed point.
- (b) If  $(X, d)$  is locally compact,  $f$  is continuous, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

In what follows,  $X$  will denote a complete metric space,  $Z$  a uniform space in which sequences are adequate and  $f$  a mapping of  $X \times Z$  into  $X \times Z$ . For a fixed  $z \in Z$ ,  $f_z: X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping which is defined as  $f_z(x) = \pi_1 f(x, z)$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\pi_1$  is the projection of  $X \times Z$  on  $X$  along  $Z$ .  $(m)'$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq 10$ ; will denote the condition  $(m)$  in Rhoades [7] with the modification that constant or functions that appear in  $(m)'$  depend on  $z$ .

## II. SOME DEFINITIONS FROM RHOADES [7]

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be mapping. For  $x \in X$ , let  $0(x) = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$  be the orbit of  $x$  under  $f$ . Consider the following conditions on  $f$  and  $(X, d)$ :

(1)' (Dass and Gupta) – There exist numbers  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x_*, f(x_*))[1 + d(x, f(x))]}{1 + d(x, x_*)} + \beta d(x, x_*)$$

(2)' (Jaggi and Dass) – There exist numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, f(x_*)) + d(x_*, f(x)) + d(x, x_*)} + \beta d(x, x_*)$$

(3)' (Gupta and Saxena) – There exist numbers  $a, b, c \geq 0, a + b + c < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq \frac{a[1 + d(x, f(x))]d(x_*, f(x_*))}{1 + d(x, x_*)} + \frac{bd(x, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + cd(x, x_*)$$

(4)' (Jaggi) – There exist numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + \beta d(x, x_*)$$

(5)' (Khan) – There exists a number  $k, 0 \leq k < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq k \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x, f(x_*)) + d(x_*, f(x_*)) \cdot d(x_*, f(x))}{d(x, f(x_*)) + d(x_*, f(x))}$$

(6)' (Jain and Dixit) – There exist  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0, \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + 2\beta_5 < 1, \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_4 + \beta_5 < 1$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq & \alpha_1 \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + \alpha_2 \frac{d(x, f(x_*))d(x_*, f(x))}{d(x, x_*)} + \alpha_3 \frac{d(x_*, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} \\ & + \alpha_4 \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + \beta_1 d(x, x_*) + \beta_2 d(x, f(x)) + \beta_3 d(x_*, f(x_*)) + \beta_4 d(x, f(x_*)) \\ & + \beta_5 d(x_*, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

(7)' (Sharma and Bajaj) – There exist a number  $\beta, 0 < \beta < 1/2$  and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq \beta \frac{d(x, f(x))d(x, f(x_*))}{d(x, f(x)) + d(x, f(x_*))}$$

(8)' (Dass) – There exist numbers  $\alpha_i, \beta_j > 0$  with  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \sum_{j=1}^5 \beta_j < 1$  for each positive integer m, and

for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f^m(x), f^m(x_*)) \leq \alpha_1 \frac{d(x, f^m(x)).d(x_*, f^m(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + \alpha_2 \frac{d(x, f^m(x)).d(x_*, f^m(x))}{d(f^m(x), f^m(x_*))} \\ + \alpha_3 \frac{d(x, f^m(x_*)).d(x_*, f^m(x_*))}{d(f^m(x), f^m(x_*))} + \beta_1 d(x, x_*) + \beta_2 d(x, f^m(x)) \\ + \beta_3 d(x_*, f^m(x_*)) + \beta_4 d(x, f^m(x_*)) + \beta_5 d(x_*, f^m(x))$$

(9)' (Pachpatte Thm.1) – There exists a number  $q_1 \in (0,1)$ , and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$d(f(x), f(x_*)) \leq q_1 \max \left\{ d(x, x_*), \frac{d(x, f(x)).d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)}, \frac{d(x, f(x_*)).d(x_*, f(x))}{d(x, x_*)}, \frac{d(x, f(x)).d(x, f(x_*))}{2d(x, x_*)} \right\}$$

(10)' (Pachpatte Thm.2) – There exists a number  $q_2 \in (0,1)$ , and for each  $x, x_* \in X, x \neq x_*, x_* \in 0(x)$  such that

$$\min \left\{ d(f(x), f(x_*)), d(x, f(x)), d(x_*, f(x_*)), \frac{d(x, f(x)).d(x_*, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} \right\} - \\ \min \left\{ \frac{d(x, f(x_*)).d(x_*, f(x))}{d(x, x_*)}, \frac{d(x, f(x)).d(x, f(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} \right\} \leq q_2 d(x, x_*)$$

Now we prove the following results:

### 2.1 Theorem

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $Z$  a uniform space in which sequences are adequate which has the f. p. p. and let  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a mapping.

(a) If  $f$  is uniformly continuous such that for each  $z \in Z, f_z \in (3)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point.

(b) If  $X$  is locally compact,  $f$  is continuous such that for each  $z \in Z, f_z \in (3)$ , then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof:** We prove (a) and (b) simultaneously:

**Step I:** We define a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $X$  as follows:

For a fixed  $x_0$  in  $X$ ,

$$f_z^0(x_0) = t_0 = x_0, t_n(z) = f_z^n(x_0) = \pi_1 f(f_z^{n-1}(x_0), z); n \geq 1$$

For  $f_z \in (3)$  we have for  $x, x_* \in X, x_* \in 0(x)$  there exist  $a, b, c \geq 0$  with  $a + b + c < 1$  such that

$$d(f_z(x), f_z(x_*)) \leq \frac{a.[1 + d(x, f_z(x))].d(x_*, f_z(x_*))}{1 + d(x, x_*)} + \frac{b.d(x, f_z(x)).d(x_*, f_z(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + c.d(x, x_*)$$

Set  $x_* = f_z(x)$  in the above inequality to obtain

$$d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) \leq (a + b)d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) + c.d(x, f_z(x))$$

which implies that

$$d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) \leq \left( \frac{c}{1 - a - b} \right) . d(x, f_z(x))$$

Now, set  $x=x_*$ , then we have

$$d(f_z(x_*), f_z^2(x_*)) \leq \left(\frac{c}{1-a-b}\right) \cdot d(x_*, f_z(x_*))$$

Repeating above substitute we obtain

$$d(f_z^2(x), f_z^3(x)) \leq \left(\frac{c}{1-a-b}\right)^2 \cdot d(x, f_z(x))$$

Using induction, we get

$$d(f_z^n(x), f_z^{n+1}(x)) \leq \left(\frac{c}{1-a-b}\right)^n \cdot d(x, f_z(x))$$

Finally set  $x=x_0$ , we get

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq h^n \cdot d(t_0, t_1), \quad \text{where } h = \left(\frac{c}{1-a-b}\right) < 1$$

Using triangle inequality, we find, for  $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, t_m) &\leq d(t_n, t_{n+1}) + d(t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}) + \dots + d(t_{m-1}, t_m) \\ &\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{m-1}) \cdot d(t_0, t_1) \\ &= \frac{h^n(1-h^{m-n}) \cdot d(t_0, t_1)}{1-h} < \frac{h^n \cdot d(t_0, t_1)}{1-h} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this inequality shows that  $\{t_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is a complete metric space, there exists a point  $p_1$  in  $X$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow p_1$

**Step II:** we show that  $p_1$  is a unique fixed point of  $f_z$ .

Since  $f_z \in (3)$ . We have (taking  $x=t_n, x_*=p_1$ )

$$d(f_z(t_n), f_z(p_1)) \leq \frac{a \cdot [1 + d(t_n, f_z(t_n))]}{1 + d(t_n, p_1)} \cdot d(p_1, f_z(p_1)) + \frac{b \cdot d(t_n, f_z(t_n)) \cdot d(p_1, f_z(p_1))}{d(t_n, p_1)} + c \cdot d(t_n, p_1)$$

Since  $f_z$  is continuous, taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$d(p_1, f_z(p_1)) \leq a \cdot d(p_1, f_z(p_1))$$

which is possible only when  $d(p_1, f_z(p_1))=0$  or  $p_1=f_z(p_1)$ , i.e.,  $p_1$  is a fixed point of  $f_z$ .

Suppose  $p_2$  is another fixed point of  $f_z$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(p_1, p_2) = d(f_z(p_1), f_z(p_2)) &\leq \frac{a \cdot [1 + d(p_1, f_z(p_1))]}{1 + d(p_1, p_2)} \cdot d(p_2, f_z(p_2)) + \frac{b \cdot d(p_1, f_z(p_1)) \cdot d(p_2, f_z(p_2))}{d(p_1, p_2)} \\ &\quad + c \cdot d(p_1, p_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(p_1, p_2) \leq c \cdot d(p_1, p_2)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $p_1=p_2$  or  $p_1$  is a unique fixed point of  $f_z$ .

**Step III:** Let a mapping  $F:Z \rightarrow X$  be such that  $F(z)=p_1$  is the unique fixed point of  $f_z$ . Now, let  $z_0 \in Z$  and let  $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $Z$  which converges to  $z_0$ . Then by the hypothesis in (a), the sequence  $\{f_{z_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to  $f_{z_0}$  and hence by the Theorem 1.1, the sequence  $\{F(z_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $F(z_0)$ , under the assumptions of (b), we may apply Theorem 1.2, to conclude that the sequence  $\{F(z_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  converges to  $F(z_0)$ . Hence in either case this proves that  $F$  is continuous on  $Z$ . Also  $\pi_1 f(F(z), z) = f_z(F(z)) = F(z)$ , because  $F(z)$  is a fixed point of  $f_z$ . Next, let  $G:Z \rightarrow Z$  be defined by setting  $G(z) = \pi_2 f(F(z), z)$ . Then  $G$  is a continuous map of  $Z$  to itself. Since  $Z$  has the f.p.p., there exists a point  $p \in Z$  such that  $G(p) = p$ , then the point  $(F(p), p)$  is such that  $\pi_1 f(F(p), p) = F(p)$  and  $\pi_2 f(F(p), p) = G(p) = p$ . Therefore  $(F(p), p)$  is a fixed point of  $f$  in  $X \times Z$ .

**2.2 Theorem**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $Z$  a uniform space in which sequences are adequate which has the f. p. p. and let  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  be a mapping.

- (a) If  $f$  is uniformly continuous such that for each  $z \in Z$ ,  $f_z$  satisfies any one of the conditions (2)', (5)', (6)', (7)', (8)', (9)' and (10)', then  $f$  has a fixed point.
- (b) If  $(X, d)$  is locally compact,  $f$  is continuous such that for each  $z \in Z$ ,  $f_z$  satisfies any one of the conditions (2)', (5)', (6)', (7)', (8)', (9)' and (10)', then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Proof:** We prove (a) and (b) simultaneously:

We define a sequence  $t_n(z) = t_n$  in  $X$  as follows:

For a fixed  $x_0$  in  $X$  and any  $z \in Z$ ,

$$f_z^0(x_0) = t_0, t_n = f_z^n(x_0) = \pi_1 f(f_z^{n-1}(x_0), z); n \geq 1$$

If  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (2)'$  and apply  $x_* = f_z(x)$  then we have

$$d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) \leq \alpha \cdot \frac{d(x, f_z(x)) \cdot d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x))}{d(x, f_z^2(x)) + d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) + d(x, f_z(x))} + \beta \cdot d(x, f_z(x))$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) + \beta \cdot d(x, f_z(x))$$

or  $d(f_z(x), f_z^2(x)) \leq \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} \right) d(x, f_z(x))$

Let  $x = x_*$  in above inequality we have

$$d(f_z(x_*), f_z^2(x_*)) \leq \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} \right) d(x_*, f_z(x_*))$$

Again set  $x_* = f_z(x)$ , then we can obtain

$$d(f_z^2(x), f_z^3(x)) \leq \left( \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} \right)^2 d(x, f_z(x))$$

By the induction we can write above relation as

$$d(f_z^n(x), f_z^{n+1}(x)) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}\right)^n d(x, f_z(x))$$

Finally set  $x=x_0$ , then we obtain

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}\right)^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(1)$$

Here we note that if the function  $f: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$  is such that  $f_z \in (5)$  then by using similar arguments, we can show that

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(2)$$

Similarly, if  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (6)$ , then we can obtain, the condition

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_4}{1-\alpha_1 - \beta_3 - \beta_4}\right)^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(3)$$

Likewise, if  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (7)$ , then we obtain

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq \beta^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(4)$$

Now, if  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (9)$ , then we can obtain

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq q_1^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(5)$$

If  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (10)$ , then we can obtain

$$d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq q_2^n d(t_0, t_1) \dots\dots(6)$$

Finally, if the function  $f$  is such that  $f_z \in (8)$ , then to obtain a condition of the type above, we proceed as follows:

Define  $g_1 = f_z^m$ , then we have

$$d(g_1(x), g_1(x_*)) \leq \alpha_1 \frac{d(x, g_1(x)).d(x_*, g_1(x_*))}{d(x, x_*)} + \alpha_2 \frac{d(x, g_1(x)).d(x_*, g_1(x))}{d(g_1(x), g_1(x_*))} + \alpha_3 \frac{d(x, g_1(x_*)).d(x_*, g_1(x_*))}{d(g_1(x), g_1(x_*))} + \beta_1 d(x, x_*) + \beta_2 d(x, g_1(x)) + \beta_3 d(x_*, g_1(x_*)) + \beta_4 d(x, g_1(x_*)) + \beta_5 d(x_*, g_1(x)) \dots\dots(7)$$

Using symmetry in (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(g_1(x_*), g_1(x)) \leq & \alpha_1 \frac{d(x_*, g_1(x_*)) \cdot d(x, g_1(x))}{d(x_*, x)} + \alpha_2 \frac{d(x_*, g_1(x_*)) \cdot d(x, g_1(x_*))}{d(g_1(x_*), g_1(x))} \\
 & + \alpha_3 \frac{d(x_*, g_1(x)) \cdot d(x, g_1(x))}{d(g_1(x_*), g_1(x))} + \beta_1 d(x_*, x) + \beta_2 d(x_*, g_1(x_*)) \\
 & + \beta_3 d(x, g_1(x)) + \beta_4 d(x_*, g_1(x)) + \beta_5 d(x, g_1(x_*)) \\
 & \dots\dots(8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding (7) and (8) above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(g_1(x), g_1(x_*)) \leq & \gamma_1 \frac{d(x, g_1(x)) \cdot d(x_*, g_1(x_*))}{d(x_*, x)} \\
 & + \gamma_2 \frac{[d(x, g_1(x)) \cdot d(x_*, g_1(x)) + d(x, g_1(x_*)) \cdot d(x_*, g_1(x_*))]}{d(g_1(x), g_1(x_*))} + \gamma_3 d(x, x_*) \\
 & + \gamma_4 [d(x, g_1(x)) + d(x_*, g_1(x_*))] + \gamma_5 [d(x, g_1(x_*)) + d(x_*, g_1(x))] \\
 & \dots(9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}{2}$ ,  $\gamma_3 = \beta_1$ ,  $\gamma_4 = \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{2}$ , and  $\gamma_5 = \frac{\beta_4 + \beta_5}{2}$

with  $\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + 2\gamma_4 + 2\gamma_5 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \beta_i < 1$

In the equation (9), we apply similar procedure described above for the equation (1) and if mapping  $g_1$  referred as  $f_z$  then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(t_n, t_{n+1}) \leq & \left( \frac{\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5}{1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_4 - \gamma_5} \right)^n d(t_0, t_1) \\
 & \dots\dots(10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly according to conditions (2)', (5)', (6)', (7)', (9)', (10)' and (8)' we obtain equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (10) respectively. However, in each of these cases we see that  $\{t_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. However, by the completeness of X, there is a point  $p_1$  in X such that  $t_n$  converges to  $p_1$ . We can easily see that  $p_1$  is a unique fixed point of  $f_z$ . By the help of step-III of the above theorem 2.1, we can conclude the theorem 2.2.

### III. CONCLUSION

We observe that condition (1)', (4)' are stronger than (3)', therefore the above Theorem 2.1 has two corollaries corresponding to each of these two conditions. We also observe that condition (4)' is stronger than conditions (6)' and (8)' therefore the Theorem 2.2 has one corollary corresponding to (4)'. This paper is extension of Nadler's result according to contractive conditions of Rhoades [7].

### REFERENCES

[1] G. Bredon, Some examples of fixed point property, *Pacific J. Math.* 38 (1971), 571-573



- [2] R.F. Brown, On some old problems of fixed point theory, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 4 (1974), 3-14
- [3] E.R. Fadell, Recent results in fixed point theory of continuous maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1970), 10-29
- [4] W. Lopez, An example in the fixed point theory of polyhedra, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967), 922-924
- [5] S.B. Nadler Jr., Sequence of contractions and fixed points, *Pacific J. Math.* 27 (1968), 579-585.
- [6] B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 226(1977), 257-290
- [7] B.E. Rhoades, Proving fixed point theorem using general principles, *Indian J. Pure App. Math.* 27(8) (1996), 741-770
- [8] T. Van Der Walt, Fixed and almost fixed points, *Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, Holland* 1963.