

Fixed Point Theorems in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Chinky¹, V. Bhatia², V. Singh³

¹Department of Mathematics

Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Chinkyanika7318@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics

Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

bhatiavinod88@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics

St. Andrew Institute, Gurugram, Haryana, India

Vishvajit73.sheoran@gmail.com

Abstract:

Main purpose of this research paper is to prove fixed point theorems in Fuzzy Metric spaces ,which satisfy some rational inequality.we also explain some related results which satisfy some integral type inequality,that is the application of the main result.

Keywords: Fuzzy Metric Space, Rational inequality, Integral Type inequality.

1. Introduction

In 1965,the concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [3].After that many other mathematician developed the theory of fuzzy sets and applications. Recently,many researchers have also studied the fixed point theory in the fuzzy metrics and have studied for fuzzy mappings which opened anavenue for further researchs. In 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [5] defined the concept of fuzzy metric spaces. In 1988, Mariusz Grabiec [4] extended fixed point theorem of Banach . A number of fixed point theorem have been obtained by various authors in fuzzy metric space by using the concept of compatible map, implicit relation, weakly compatible map, R weakly compatible map. Also R.K. Saini and Vishal Gupta [9, 10] gave some fixed points theorems. The present paper prove fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space that satisfy a contraction condition.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we define some important definition and results which are used in this paper.

Definition 2.1 ([3]): Let Z be any set. A fuzzy set F in Z is a function with domain W and values in $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 ([2]): A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-norms if $([0, 1], *)$ is an abelian topological monoid with the unit 1 such that $p * q \leq r * s$ whenever $p \leq r$ and $q \leq s$ for all $p, q, r, s \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 ([5]): A triplet $(Z, F, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space if Z is a an arbitrary set, $*$ is continuous t-norm and F is a fuzzy set on $F^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in Z$, such that $t, s \in (0, \infty)$.

1. $F(x, y, t) > 0$
2. $F(x, y, t) = 1$ iff $x = y$
3. $F(x, y, t) = F(y, x, t)$
4. $F(x, y, t) * F(y, z, s) \leq F(x, z, t + s)$.
5. $F(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Thus F is called a fuzzy metric on Z and $F(x, y, t)$ denotes the degree of nearness between x and y with respect to t .

Definition 2.4 ([4]): Let $(Z, F, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $[x_n] \in Z$ is said to be convergent to a point $x \in Z$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 2.5 ([4]): Let $(Z, F, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space then a sequence $[x_n] \in Z$ is called Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 2.6 ([4]): Let $(Z, F, *)$ is a fuzzy metric space then an Fuzzy Metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called complete. It is called compact, if every sequence contains a convergent subsequence.

Lemma.1 ([4]): For all, $x, y \in Z$, $F(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing.

Lemma.2 ([11]): If there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $F(x, y, kt) \geq F(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in Z$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, then $x = y$.

Now we prove our main result.

3. Main Results

Let us define $\Phi = \{\phi/\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ is a continuous function such that $\phi(1) = 1, \phi(0) = 0, \phi(\alpha) > \alpha$ for each $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Theorem 3.1: Let $(Z, F, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping satisfying

$$F(x, y, t) = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$F(fx, fy, kt) \geq \phi\{\lambda(x, y, t)\}$$

Where

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \min\left\{\frac{F(y, fy, t)[1+F(x, fx, t)]}{[1+F(x, y, t)]}, F(x, y, t)\right\} \tag{1.3}$$

for all $x, y \in Z, k \in (0, 1), \phi \in \Phi$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Since $\phi \in \Phi$. This implies that $\phi(\alpha) > \alpha$ for each $0 < \alpha < 1$. Thus from above condition

$$F(fx, fy, kt) \geq \phi\{\lambda(x, y, t)\} \geq \lambda(x, y, t) \tag{1.2}$$

Let us consider $x \in Z$ be any arbitrary point in Z . Now construct a sequence $\{x_n\} \in Z$ such that $fx_n = x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim: $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let us take $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (1.2), we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) = (fx_{n-1}, fx_n, kt) \geq \lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \tag{1.4}$$

Now

$$\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \min\left\{\frac{F(x_n, fx_n, t)[1 + F(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t)]}{[1 + F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]}, F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\right\}$$

$$\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \min\left\{\frac{F(x_n, fx_{n+1}, t)[1+F(x_{n-1}, fx_n, t)]}{[1+F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]}, F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

$$= \min\{F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}$$

Now if $F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$, then from equation (1.4)

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$$

Hence from lemma (2), our claim follows immediately. Now suppose $F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$ then again from equation (1.4),

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

Now by simple induction, for all n and $t > 0$, we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}) \tag{1.5}$$

Now for any positive integer 's', we have

$$F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) \geq F(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{s}) * \dots * F(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{s})$$

By using equation (1.5), we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) \geq F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{sk^n}) * \dots * F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{sk^n})$$

Now taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ and using (1.1), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) = 1 \tag{1.6}$$

This implies, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Call the limit v .

Claim: v is a fixed point of f .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(v, fv, t) &\geq F(fx_n, fv, t) * F(v, x_{n+1}, t) \\ &\geq \lambda \left(x_n, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) * M'(v, x_{n+1}, t) \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Now

$$\lambda \left(x_n, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = \min \left\{ \frac{F(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}) [1 + F(x_n, fx_n, \frac{t}{2k})]}{[1 + F(v, x_n, \frac{t}{2k})]}, F(v, x_n, \frac{t}{2k}) \right\}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in above inequality and using (1.1), we get

$$\lambda \left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = \min \left\{ F(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}), 1 \right\}$$

Now if $F(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}) \geq 1$ then $\lambda \left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = 1$

Therefore from (1.7) and using definition 3, we get v is a fixed point of f .

Now if $F\left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}\right) \geq 1$ then $\lambda\left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k}\right) = F\left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}\right)$.

Hence from equation (1.7), we get

$$F(v, fv, t) \geq F\left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k}\right) * F(x_{n+1}, v, t) \tag{1.8}$$

Now taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in (1.8) and using equation (1.1) and lemma (2), we get $fv = v$.

Uniqueness: Now we show that v is a unique fixed point of f . Suppose not, then there exist a point $\omega \in Z$ such that $f\omega = \omega$. Consider

$$1 \leq F(w, v, t) = F(fw, v, t) \geq \lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{F\left(v, fv, \frac{t}{k}\right)[1 + F(w, fw, \frac{t}{k})]}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{F(v, fv, \frac{t}{k})[1 + F(w, w, \frac{t}{k})]}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{2}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$= \min\{1, 1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda\left(\omega, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = 1 \tag{1.10}$$

Use it in (1.9), we get $\omega = v$. Thus v is unique fixed point of f . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3.2: Let $(X, F, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping satisfying

$$F(x, y, t) = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$F(fx, fy, kt) \geq \lambda(x, y, t) \tag{2.2}$$

Where

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \min \left\{ \frac{F(y, fy, t)[1+F(x, fx, t)]}{[1+F(x, y, t)]}, F(x, y, t) \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in Z$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let us consider $x \in Z$ be any arbitrary point in Z . Now construct a sequence $\{x_n\} \in Z$ such that $fx_n = x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim: $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let us take $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (2.2), we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) = (fx_{n-1}, fx_n, kt) \geq \lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \quad (2.4)$$

Now

$$\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \min \left\{ \frac{F(x_n, fx_n, t)[1 + F(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, t)]}{[1 + F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]}, F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \right\}$$

$$\lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) = \min \left\{ \frac{F(x_n, fx_{n+1}, t)[1+F(x_{n-1}, fx_n, t)]}{[1+F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)]}, F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

$$= \min \{F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t), F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)\}$$

Now if $F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \leq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$, then from equation (2.4)

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$$

Hence from lemma (2), our claim follows immediately. Now suppose $F(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$ then again from equation (2.4),

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)$$

Now by simple induction, for all n and $t > 0$, we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}) \quad (2.5)$$

Now for any positive integer 's', we have

$$F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) \geq F(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{s}) * \dots * (s) * \dots * F(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, \frac{t}{s})$$

By using equation (2.5), we get

$$F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) \geq F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{sk^n}) * \dots * F(x, x_1, \frac{t}{sk^n})$$

Now taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ and using (2.1), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n, x_{n+s}, t) = 1 \tag{2.6}$$

This implies, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Call the limit v .

Claim: v is a fixed point of f .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} F(v, fv, t) &\geq F(fx_n, fv, t) * F(v, x_{n+1}, t) \\ &\geq \lambda \left(x_n, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) * M \left(v, x_{n+1}, t \right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Now

$$\lambda \left(x_n, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = \min \left\{ \frac{F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right) [1 + F(x_n, fx_n, \frac{t}{2k})]}{[1 + F(v, x_n, \frac{t}{2k})]}, F(v, x_n, \frac{t}{2k}) \right\}$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in above inequality and using (1.1), we get

$$\lambda \left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = \min \left\{ F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right), 1 \right\}$$

Now if $F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right) \geq 1$ then $\lambda \left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = 1$

Therefore from (2.7) and using definition 3, we get v is a fixed point of f .

Now if $F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right) < 1$ then $\lambda \left(v, v, \frac{t}{2k} \right) = F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right)$.

Hence from equation (1.7), we get

$$F(v, fv, t) \geq F \left(v, fv, \frac{t}{2k} \right) * F(x_{n+1}, v, t) \tag{2.8}$$

Now taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in (2.8) and using equation (2.1) and lemma (2), we get $fv = v$.

Uniqueness: Now we show that v is a unique fixed point of f . Suppose not, then there exist a point $w \in Z$ such that $fw = w$. Consider

$$1 \leq F(w, v, t) = F(fw, v, t) \geq \lambda \left(w, v, \frac{t}{k} \right) \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{F\left(v, fv, \frac{t}{k}\right)[1 + F(w, fw, \frac{t}{k})]}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{F(v, fv, \frac{t}{k})[1 + F(w, w, \frac{t}{k})]}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$\lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{2}{[1 + F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})]}, F(w, v, \frac{t}{k})\right\}$$

$$= \min\{1, 1\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda\left(w, v, \frac{t}{k}\right) = 1 \tag{2.10}$$

Use it in (2.9), we get $\omega = v$. Thus v is unique fixed point of f . This completes the proof of Theorem .

4. Applications

In this section, we gives some application related to our results. Let us define $\Psi: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, as $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(t)dt \forall t > 0$, be a non-decreasing and continuous function. Moreover, for each $\varepsilon > 0, \varphi(\varepsilon) > 0$. Also implies that $\varphi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$.

Theorem 4.1: Let $(Z, F, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping satisfying

$$F(x, y, t) = 1$$

$$\int_0^{F(fx, fy, kt)} \varphi(t)dt \geq \int_0^{\lambda(x, y, t)} \varphi(t)dt$$

where

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \min\left\{\frac{F(y, fy, t)[1 + F(x, fx, t)]}{[1 + F(x, y, t)]}, F(x, y, t)\right\}$$

for all $x, y \in Z, \varphi \in \Psi$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: By taking $\varphi(t) = 1$ and applying Theorem 3.2, we obtain the result.

Theorem 4.2: Let $(Z, F, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $f : Z \rightarrow Z$ be a mapping satisfying

$$F(x, y, t) = 1$$

$$\int_0^{F(fx, fy, kt)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \phi \left\{ \int_0^{\lambda(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt \right\}$$

where

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \min \left\{ \frac{F(y, fy, t)[1 + F(x, fx, t)]}{[1 + F(x, y, t)]}, F(x, y, t) \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in Z$, $\varphi \in \Psi$, $k \in (0, 1)$ and $\phi \in \Phi$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Since $\phi(\alpha) > \alpha$ for each $0 < \alpha < 1$, therefore result follows immediately from Theorem 4.3.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the referees for their helpful suggestions and many kind comment.

References

- [1] A. George and P. Veermani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- [2] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, North-Holland Publishing Co., New York (1983), ISBN: 0-444-00666-4 MR0790314 (86g:54045).
- [3] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Control*, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- [4] M. Grabeic, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 27 (1988), 385-389.
- [5] O. Kramosil and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11 (1975), 326-334.
- [6] P. Balasubramaniam and S. Murlisankar and R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 10(2) (2002), 379-384.

- [7] R. Vasuki, A common fixed point theorem in a fuzzy metric space. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 97 (1998), 395-397.
- [8] R. Vasuki, Common fixed point for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric space, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30 (1999), 419-423.
- [9] R. K. Saini and V. Gupta, Fuzzy Version of Some Fixed Points Theorems On Expansion Type Maps in Fuzzy Metric Space, *Thai Journal of Mathematics, Mathematical Assoc. of Thailand*, 5, No. 2 (2007), 245-252, ISSN 1686-0209.
- [10] R. K. Saini and V. Gupta, Common coincidence Points of R-Weakly Commuting Fuzzy Maps, *Thai Journal of Mathematics, Mathematical Assoc. of Thailand*, 5, No. 2 (2007), 109-115, ISSN 1686-0209.
- [11] S. N. Mishra, S.N. Sharma and S. L. Singh, Common fixed point of maps of fuzzy metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 17 (1994), 253-58.
- [12] SEONG. HOON. CHOET. AL., On common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Int. Mathematical Forum*, 1 (No. 9-12) (2006), 471-479.
- [13] Vatentin. Gregori and Almanzor, Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125 (2002), 245-252.
- [14] Y. J. Cho and S. Sedghi and N. Shobe, Generalized fixed point theorems for Compatible mappings with some types in fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 39 (2009), 2233-2244.
- [15] Gregori V., Minana J.J., Miravet D., "Fuzzy partial metric spaces" *Int. J. Gen. Syst.* 48(3), 260 -279, 2019.