

Common Random Fixed Point of Asymptotically Regular Mappings in Polish Spaces

Raj Singh Chandelkar¹, Aklesh Pariya², V.K. Gupta³

¹School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, MP, (India)

²Rishiraj Institute of Technology, Indore, MP, (India),

³Govt. Madhav Science PG College, Ujjain, MP, (India)

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper to establish a random fixed point theorem for three random multivalued operators satisfying general contractive condition for asymptotically regular mappings in Polish spaces.

AMS Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords -- Random Multivalued Operator, Asymptotically Regular, Random Fixed Point, Measurable Mapping

I. INTRODUCTION

Random fixed point theorem for contraction mappings in Polish spaces are of fundamental importance in Probabilistic functional analysis. Their study was initiated by the Prague School of Probabilistic with work of Spacek [16] and Hans [10, 11]. Bharucha-Reid [9], Itoh [12] proved several random fixed point theorems and gave their applications to random differential equations in Banach spaces. Lin[13], Sehgal and Singh[15], proved random approximation and random fixed point theorem for non self map and set valued mappings. Papageorgiou [14], proved random fixed point theorems for measurable multifunction in Banach spaces. Beg and Azam [6] prove some results on fixed points of asymptotically regular multivalued mappings. Random coincidence point theorems and random fixed point theorems are stochastic generalization of classical coincidence point theorems and classical fixed point theorems. Beg and Shahzad [7], Beg and Abbas [5] studied the structure of common random fixed points and random coincidence points of compatible random operators. Beg, Abbas and Azam [8] obtained sufficient conditions for existence of random fixed point of a non expansive rotative random operator and establish the existence of random periodic points for random single valued \mathbb{E} -contractive and \mathbb{E} -expansive random operators. Badshah and Sayyed [3], Badshah and Gagrani[1], proved some random fixed point theorems for random multivalued operators in Polish spaces. Afterwards, Badshah and Shrivastava [4] introduce the concept of semi-compatibility in Polish spaces and proved some random fixed point theorems for random multivalued operators in Polish spaces. Recently, Badshah and Pariya[2] establish a common random fixed point theorem for six random operators using the concept of semi compatibility, weak compatibility and commutativity of random operators in Polish spaces.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a Polish Space, that is a separable complete metric space, and let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space. Let 2^X be a family of all subset of X and $CB(X)$ denote the family of all non-empty bounded closed subsets of X . A mapping $T: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$ is called measurable if for all open subset C of X , $T^{-1}(C) = \{\omega \in \Omega : T(\omega) \cap C \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma$. A mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is said to be measurable selector of a measurable mapping $T: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$ if ξ is measurable and $\xi(\omega) \in T(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$ is called a random operator if for all $x \in X$, $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable.

Definition 2.2. A mapping $T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called a random multivalued operator if for every $x \in X$, $T(\cdot, x)$ is measurable.

Definition 2.3. A measurable mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called random fixed point of a random multivalued operator $T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ ($f: \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$), if for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\xi(\omega) \in T(\omega, \xi(\omega))$ ($f(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = \xi(\omega)$).

Definition 2.4. Let $T: \Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a random operator and $\{\xi_n\}$ a sequence of measurable mappings $\xi_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$. The sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is said to be asymptotically T -regular if $d(\xi_n(\omega), T(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))) \rightarrow 0$.

In this paper, the existence of a common random fixed point of three random multivalued operators with asymptotically regular mappings on Polish spaces proved. The result extends and generalized the result of Beg and Azam [6], Badshah and Sayyed [3] and previously known results.

III. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a Polish space and $A, B, S: \Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be three continuous random multivalued operators satisfying

$$(i) B(\omega, X) \subset A(\omega, X) \text{ and } S(\omega, X) \subset A(\omega, X)$$

$$(ii) H(B(\omega, x), S(\omega, y)) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, y), S(\omega, y))]^2}{d(A(\omega, x), B(\omega, x)) + d(A(\omega, x), S(\omega, y))} + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, x), A(\omega, y))$$

where $\alpha, \beta: \Omega \rightarrow (0, 1)$ are measurable mappings such that $\alpha(\omega) + \beta(\omega) < 1$ for

$x, y \in X$ and each $\omega \in \Omega$. If $\xi_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is sequence of asymptotically A -regular, B -regular and S -regular measurable mappings then there exists measurable mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is random fixed point of random multivalued operators A, B , and S such that

$$\xi(\omega) \in A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), \xi(\omega) \in B(\omega, \xi(\omega)) \text{ and } \xi(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi(\omega)) \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

$$\text{Moreover } A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow B(\omega, \xi(\omega)) \text{ and } S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow S(\omega, \xi(\omega))$$

(Here H represent the Hausdroff metric on $CB(X)$ induced by the metric d .)

Proof. Let $\xi_0: \Omega \rightarrow X$ be an arbitrary measurable mapping and choose measurable mapping $\xi_1, \xi_2: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that for each $\omega \in \Omega$

$$B(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)) = A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)) \text{ and } S(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)) = A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))$$

Then for each $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) &= H(B(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi_0(\omega))) + d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)))} \\ &\quad + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &= \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) + d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))} \\ &\quad + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))} + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\leq \alpha(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha(\omega)) d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \leq \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\Rightarrow d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \leq \frac{\beta(\omega)}{1 - \alpha(\omega)} d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \\ &\Rightarrow d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \leq k d(A(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

Where $k = \frac{\beta(\omega)}{1 - \alpha(\omega)} < 1$

In the same manner there exists a measurable mapping $\xi_3: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_3(\omega))) &= d(B(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \\ &\leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi_1(\omega))) + d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)))} \\ &\quad + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \\ &\Rightarrow d(A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_3(\omega))) \leq \frac{\beta(\omega)}{1 - \alpha(\omega)} d(A(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_2(\omega))) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)\right)\right) &\leq k d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_2(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &\leq k^2 d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) \quad \text{.. by (1)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly proceeding in the same way by induction, we produce a sequence of measurable mapping $\xi_n: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_{n+1}(\omega)\right)\right) \leq k^n d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right)$$

furthermore for $m > n$

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_m(\omega)\right)\right) &\leq d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_{n+1}(\omega)\right)\right) + d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_{n+1}(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_{n+2}(\omega)\right)\right) + \dots \\ &\quad + d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_{m-1}(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_m(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &\leq k^n d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) + k^{n+1} d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) + \dots \\ &\quad + k^{m-1} d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &= [k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1}] d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &= k^n [1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^{m-n-1}] d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_0(\omega)\right), A\left(\omega, \xi_1(\omega)\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\{A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $CB(X)$ so $\{B(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))\}$ and $\{S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))\}$ also convergence in $CB(X)$ and hence exists a measurable mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that $A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow \xi(\omega)$, $B(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow \xi(\omega)$ and $S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)) \rightarrow \xi(\omega)$ therefore there exists $A(\omega) \in CB(X)$ such that $H(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), A(\omega)) \rightarrow 0$. (By Itoh proposition(1) $A: \Omega \rightarrow CB(X)$ is measurable).

Let $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a measurable mapping such that for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\xi(\omega) \in A(\omega)$.

Thus for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and by A, B and S asymptotically regularity of sequences $\xi_n(\omega)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\xi(\omega), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) &\leq H\left(A(\omega), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(A\left(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)\right), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(B\left(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)\right), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))) + d(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi(\omega)))} + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \right]$$

Proceeding in the same way, we obtain

$$\Rightarrow d(\xi(\omega), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \leq 0 \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Therefore for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $d(\xi(\omega), A(\omega, \xi(\omega))) = 0$

Hence $\xi(\omega) \in A(\omega, \xi(\omega))$.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d(\xi(\omega), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) &\leq H(A(\omega), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(B(\omega, \xi(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)))]^2}{d(A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) + d(A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)))} + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, \xi(\omega)), A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega))) \right] \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$d(\xi(\omega), B(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \leq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi(\omega) \in B(\omega, \xi(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d(\xi(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))) &\leq H(A(\omega), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), S(\omega, \xi(\omega))) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\xi(\omega) \in S(\omega, \xi(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Also it's follows that

$$A(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = A(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega,$$

$$S(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = A(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and}$$

$$B(\omega, \xi(\omega)) = A(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(\omega, \xi_n(\omega)), \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega.$$

This is complete proof of theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a Polish space and $A, B, S: \Omega \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be three continuous random multivalued operators satisfying

$$(i) B(\omega, X) \subset A(\omega, X) \text{ and } S(\omega, X) \subset A(\omega, X)$$

$$(ii) H(B(\omega, x), S(\omega, y)) \leq \alpha(\omega) \frac{[d(A(\omega, y), S(\omega, y))]^2}{d(A(\omega, x), B(\omega, x)) + d(A(\omega, x), S(\omega, y))} + \beta(\omega) d(A(\omega, x), A(\omega, y))$$

Where $\alpha, \beta: \Omega \rightarrow (0,1)$ are measurable mappings such that $\alpha(\omega) + \beta(\omega) < 1$ for $x, y \in X$ and each $\omega \in \Omega$.

then there exists a common random fixed point of random multivalued operators A, B and S .

(Here H represent the Hausdroff metric on $CB(X)$ induced by the metric d .)

Proof. The proof of theorem follows from theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Badshah, V.H. and Gagrani, S., Common random fixed points of random multivalued operators on Polish spaces, Jour. Of the Chung Cheong Math. Soc. Vol. 18 No.1 (2005) 33-39.
- [2]. Badshah, V.H. and Pariya, A., A Common random fixed points theorem for six random operators satisfying a rational inequality, Jour. Of Indian Acad. Math. Vol. 34, No. 1 (2012) 301-317.
- [3]. Badshah, V.H. and Sayyed, F., Common random fixed points of random multivalued operators on Polish spaces Indian J. pure Appl. Math. 33(4) (2002), 573-582.
- [4]. Badshah, V.H. and Shrivastava, N., Semi Compatibility and random fixed points on Polish spaces, Varahmihir Jour. Math. Sc., Vol. 6 NO.2, 561-568.
- [5]. Beg, I. and Abbas, M., Common random fixed points of compatible random operators, Int. Jour. Math. Math. Sci. Vol. 2006, Article ID 23486, 1-15.
- [6]. Beg, I. and Azam, A., Fixed point of asymptotically regular multivalued mappings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 53 (1992), 313-326.
- [7]. Beg, I. and Shahzad, N., Random fixed points of random multivalued operators on Polish spaces, Nonlinear Analysis, 20 (1993), 835-847.
- [8]. Beg, I., Abbas, M. and Azam, A., Periodic Fixed Points of random operators, Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae 37 (2010), 39-49.
- [9]. Bharucha-Reid, A.T., Random Integral Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [10]. Hans, O., Random operator equations, Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probability, Vol. II, (1961) 185-202.
- [11]. Hans, O., Reduzierende Zulliallige transformaten, Czech. Math. Jour. 7 (1957), 154-158.
- [12]. Itoh, S., A random fixed points theorem for a multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific Jour. Math. 68 (1977), 85-90.

- [13]. Lin, T.C., Random approximations and random fixed point theorems for nonself-maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 1129-1135.
- [14]. Papageorgiou, N.S., Random fixed point theorems of measurable multifunctions in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), 507-514.
- [15]. Sehgal, V.M. and Singh, S.P., On random approximations and random fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings, Proc Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 91-94.
- [16]. Spacek, A., Zufällige Gleichungen, Czechoslovak Math. Jour. 5, (1955), 462-466.

Author for correspondence:

1. Raj Singh Chandelkar, School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, MP, India.

e-mail:- rajchandelkar2910@gmail.com

2. Aklesh Pariya, Rishiraj Institute of Technology, Indore, MP, India.

e-mail:- akleshpariya3@yahoo.co.in

3. V.K. Gupta, Govt. Madhav Science PG College, Ujjain, MP India.

UJATES