Vol. No.6, Issue No. 06, June 2018

www.ijates.com



Common Fixed Point Theorems in Menger Spaces

V. K. Gupta¹, Arihant Jain², Rambabu Dangi¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Madhav Science PG College, Ujjain

²Department of Mathematics, Shri Guru Sandipani Girls' Institute of Professional Studies, Ujjain (M.P.)

ABSTRACT

The concept of Menger space has been introduced recently as a generalization of metric space. The aim of this paper is to use the concept of occasionally weakly compatible mappings and semi-compatible mappings in Menger space and prove a common fixed point theorem.

Keywords: Common fixed point, Menger space, compatible maps, semi compatible maps, reciprocal continuous

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):47H10, 54H25

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1942, Menger [8] has introduced the theory of probabilistic metric space by introducing probabilistic notion into geometry. The study of contraction mapping theorem was initiated by Sehgal [12] in 1966 in PM- space. Altun and Turkoglu [2] proved two common fixed point theorems on complete PM- space with an implicit relation. Schweizer and Sklar [11] played major role in development of fixed point theory in PM - space. In 1972, Sehgal and Bharucha- Reid [13] initiated the study of contraction mappings in the development of fixed point theorems. Singh et. al. [15] introduced the concept of weakly commuting mapping in PM- space. Kumar and Chugh [7] established some common fixed point theorem using the idea of reciprocal continuous of mappings.

Recently Al- Thagafi and Shahzad [1] weakened the notion of weakly compatible maps by introducing owc maps. Bouhadjera and Godet-Thobie [3] introduced two new notions subsequential continuity and subcompability which are weaker than reciprocal continuity and compatibility respectively. Using compatibility of type (A), Jain et. al. [4] proved an interesting result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1.[9] A mapping $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{R} \square \mathbf{R}^+$ is called a *distribution* if it is non-decreasing left continuous with

 $\inf \{ \mathbf{F}(t) \mid t \square \mathbf{R} \} = 0$

and

sup { **F** (t) | t \Box R} = 1.

We shall denote by L the set of all distribution functions while H will always denote the specific distribution function defined by

Vol. No.6, Issue No. 06, June 2018

www.ijates.com

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{,} & t \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{,} & t > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Definition 2.2. [9] A mapping $t:[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \square [0, 1]$ is called a *t-norm* if it satisfies the following conditions :

- t(a, 1) = a,t(0, 0) = 0; (t-1)
- (t-2)t(a, b) = t(b, a);
- $t(c, d) \square t(a, b)$; for $c \square a, d \square b$, (t-3)
- (t-4)t(t(a, b), c) = t(a, t(b, c)) for all a, b, c, d $\square[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3. [9] A probabilistic metric space (PM-space) is an ordered pair (X, F) consisting of a non-empty set X and a function $\mathbf{F}: X \times X \square L$, where L is the collection of all distribution functions and the value of \mathbf{F} at $(u,v) \square X \times X$ is represented by $F_{u,v}$. The function $F_{u,v}$ assumed to satisfy the following conditions:

- (PM-1) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$, for all x > 0, if and only if u = v;
- (PM-2) $F_{u,v}(0) = 0;$
- (PM-3) $F_{u,v} = F_{v,u}$;
- (PM-4) If $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ and $F_{v,w}(y) = 1$ then $F_{u,w}(x+y) = 1$,

for all $u,v,w \square X$ and x, y > 0.

Definition 2.4. [9] A Menger space is a triplet (X, F, t) where (X, F) is a PM-space and t is a t-norm such that the inequality

$$(PM\text{-}5) \ \ F_{u,\,w}\left(x+y\right) \ \Box \ t \ \{F_{u,\,\,v}\left(x\right), F_{v,\,\,w}\!\!\left(y\right) \ \}, \ \text{for all} \ u,\,v,\, w \ \Box \ X,\,x,\,y \ \Box \ 0.$$

Definition 2.5. [9] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Menger space (X, F, t) is said to be *convergent* and *converges to a point* x in X if and only if for each $\square > 0$ and $\square > 0$, there is an integer $M(\square, \square)$ such that

$$F_{x_n,\ x}\ (\square) > 1 - \square \qquad \qquad \text{for all } n \ \square \ M(\square,\ \square).$$

Further the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *Cauchy sequence* if for $\square > 0$ and $\square > 0$, there is an integer $M(\Box, \Box)$ such that

$$F_{x_n,\;x_m}(\square) > \text{1-} \ \square \qquad \quad \text{for all } m,n \ \square \ M(\square,\,\square).$$

A Menger PM-space (X, F, t) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X.

Vol. No.6, Issue No. 06, June 2018

A complete metric space can be treated as a complete Menger space in the following way:

Proposition 2.1. [9] If (X, d) is a metric space then the metric d induces mappings $\mathbf{F} : X \times X \square L$, defined by $F_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}}(x) = H(x - d(p,q)), p, q \square X$, where

$$H(k) = 0$$
, for $k \square 0$ and $H(k) = 1$, for $k > 0$.

Further if, $t:[0,1]\times[0,1]$ $\square[0,1]$ is defined by $t(a,b)=\min\{a,b\}$. Then (X, \mathbf{F}, t) is a Menger space. It is complete if (X,d) is complete.

The space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) so obtained is called the *induced Menger space*.

Definition 2.6. [5] Self mappings A and S of a Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence points i.e. Ax = Sx for $x \square X$ implies ASx = SAx.

Definition 2.7. [9] Self mappings A and S of a Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) are said to be *compatible* if $F_{\mathbf{ASx_n}, \mathbf{SAx_n}}(x) \square 1$ for all x > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\mathbf{Ax_n}, \mathbf{Sx_n} \square u$ for some u in X, as $n \square \square$

Definition 2.8. [10] Self maps S and T of a Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) are said to be *semi-compatible* if $F_{STx_n, Tu}(x) \Box 1$ for all x > 0, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Sx_n, Tx_n \Box u$ for some u in X, as n $\Box \Box$

Definition 2.9. [1] Self maps A and S of a Menger space (X, **F**, t) are said to be occasionally weakly compatible (owc) if and only if there is a point x in X which is coincidence point of A and S at which A and S commute.

Definition 2.10. [6] Two self maps P and S of a Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) are said to be reciprocally continuous if $PSx_n \rightarrow Pz$ and $SPx_n \rightarrow Sz$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that Px_n , $Sx_n \rightarrow z$, for some z in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.1. [14] Let (X,F,*) be a Menger space with continuous t- norm *, if there exists a constant $h \in (0,1)$ such that $F_{x,y}$ (ht) $\geq F_{x,y}$ (t), for all $x,y \in X$, and t > 0 then x = y.

Example 1.1. Let M = [4, 40] and d be usual metric on M. Define mappings P, S: $M \rightarrow M$ by

$$Pv = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if} \quad v=4 \\ 5, & \text{if} \quad v>4 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Sv = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if} \quad v=4 \\ 20, & \text{if} \quad v>4 \end{cases}.$$

It is noted that P and S are reciprocally continuous mappings but they are not continuous.

Vol. No.6, Issue No. 06, June 2018

Lemma 2.2. [14] Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, t), where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x,y) \ge x$, for all $x \in [0,1]$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0,1)$ such that

$$F_{u_n,u_{n+1}} \ (\ kx) \geq F_{u_{n-1},u_n} \ (x) \ , \ n=1,\, 2,\, 3,\, \dots \qquad \text{then } \{x_n\} \ \text{is}$$

a Cauchy sequence in X.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. Let P, Q, S and T be self mappings on a complete Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) with continuous t-norm $t(c, c) \ge c$, for some $c \in [0,1]$ satisfying:

- (3.1) $P(X) \subseteq T(X)$, $Q(X) \subseteq S(X)$,
- (3.2) (Q, T) is occasionally weak compatible,
- (3.3) For all $x,y \in X$, and h > 1,

$$F_{Px,Oy}(hx) \ge Min[F_{Sx,Ty}(x), \{F_{Sx,Px}(x), F_{Oy,Ty}(x)\}, F_{Px,Sx}(x)]$$

If (P, S) is semi compatible pairs of reciprocal continuous maps then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$, be any arbitrary point. Then we can construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Px_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n}$, and $y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}$, for n = 0,1,2,...

First, we will prove that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Now, by inequality (3.3), we have

$$\begin{split} F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(hx) & \geq Min[F_{Sx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+2}}(x), \, \{F_{Sx_{2n+1},Px_{2n+1}}(x).\, F_{Qx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n+2}}(x)\}, \, F_{Px_{2n+1},Sx_{2n+1}}(x)] \\ \\ & \geq Min[F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(x) \, , \, \{\,\,_{Fy_{2n+1},y_{2n}}(x) \, .F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(x)\, \}, \, F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(x)\,] \end{split}$$

$$F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}\left(hx\right) \ \geq \ F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(x).$$

Similarly, we get

$$F_{y_{2n+2},\,y_{2n+3}}(hx) \, \geq F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(x).$$

In general, we have

$$F_{y_{n+1},\,y_{n}}(hx) \ \geq \ F_{y_{n},y_{n-1}}(x).$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and it converges to some point z in X.

Hence the subsequences convergent as follows:

$$\{Px_{2n}\} \longrightarrow \quad z \;, \; \{Sx_{2n}\} \longrightarrow z \;, \; \{Qx_{2n+1}\} \quad {\rightarrow} z \;\; \text{and} \quad \{Tx_{2n+1}\} \longrightarrow z.$$

Now, since P and S are reciprocal continuous and semi-compatible then we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} PSx_{2n} = Pz$,

 $lim_{n\to\infty}\,SPx_{2n}=Sz$, and $\,lim_{n\to\infty}\,M(\,PSx_{2n},\,Sz,\!t)=1$. Therefore we get Pz=Sz .

Now we will show that Pz = z.

By inequality (3.3), putting x = z, $y = x_{2n+1}$, we get

$$F_{Pz,Qx_{\gamma_{n+1}}}(hx) \geq \qquad Min[F_{Sz,Tx_{\gamma_{n+1}}}(x),\, \{F_{Sz,Pz}(x),\, F_{Qx_{\gamma_{n+1}},Tx_{\gamma_{n+1}}}(x)\},\, F_{Pz,Sz}(x)].$$

Taking $\liminf n \to \infty$, we get

$$F_{Pz,z}\left(hx\right) \qquad \qquad \geq \quad Min\left[F_{sz,z}(x),\, \{F_{Sz,Pz}\left(x\right)\,.\,F_{z,z}(x)\,\,\},\, F_{Pz,Sz}(x)\right]$$

Since Pz = Sz, then we get

$$F_{Pz,z}\left(hx\right) \geq Min\left[\;F_{Pz,z}\left(x\right),\left\{\;F_{Pz,Pz}\left(x\right).\,F_{z,z}\left(x\right)\;\right\},F_{Pz,Pz}\left(x\right)\;\right]$$

 $F_{Pz,z}(hx) \ \geq F_{Pz,z}(x),$

then by Lemma 2.1, then we get z = Pz.

Since, Pz = Sz, combining both we get z = Pz = Sz.

Now, $P(X) \subseteq T(X)$, therefore there exists a point $u \in X$ such that z = Pz = Tu.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$, y = u in inequality (3.3), we get

$$F_{Px_{2n},Qu}\left(hx\right) \geq \quad Min[F_{Sx_{2n},Tu}\left(x\right), \, \{F_{Sx_{2n},Px_{2n}}\!\left(x\right). \, \, F_{Qu,Tu}\!\left(x\right)\}, \, F_{Px_{2n},Sx_{2n}}\!\left(x\right)]$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$F_{z, Ou}(hx) \ge Min [F_{z, Tu}(x), \{F_{z, z}(x).F_{Ou, z}(x)\}, F_{z, z}(x)]$$

$$F_{Z,Qu}\ (hx)\ \geq\ F_{z,Tu}\ (x)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, we get Qu = Tu.

Since z = Pz = Tu and we proved that Qu = Tu, combining both we get

$$z = Qu = Tu$$
.

Occasionally weak compatibility of $\,(Q,\,T)\,$ gives $\,TQu=QTu\,$ i.e. $\,Qz=Tz\,$.

Now, we will prove that Qz = Pz.

Again assuming $Qz \neq Pz$.

By inequality (3.3), putting x = z, y = z, we get

$$F_{Pz,Qz}\left(hx\right) \geq Min\left[\;F_{Sz,Tz}\left(x\right),\left\{\;F_{Sz,Pz}\left(x\right).\;F_{Qz,Tz}\left(x\right)\;\right\},F_{Pz,Sz}\left(x\right)\;\right]$$

$$F_{Pz,Qz}(hx) \ge Min [F_{Pz,Qz}(x), \{F_{Pz,Pz}(x), F_{Qz,Qz}(x)\}, F_{Pz,Pz}(x)]$$

$$F_{Pz,Qz}\left(hx\right) \, \geq \ F_{Pz,Qz} \ (x),$$

which is a contradiction, thus we get Pz = Qz.

Since Pz = Sz = z, and Qz = Tz.

Hence finally we get

z = Pz = Qz = Sz = Tz. i.e. z is a common fixed point of P, Q, S and T.

Uniqueness: Let w be another common fixed point of P, Q, S and T, then w = Pw = Qw = Sw = Tw.

Putting x = z and y = w, in inequality (3.3), we get

$$F_{Pz, Qw}(hx) \ge Min [F_{Sz,Tw}(x), \{F_{Sz,Pz}(x) . F_{Qw,Tw}(x)\}, F_{Pz,Sz}(x)]$$

$$F_{z,w}(hx) \ge Min [F_{z,w}(x), \{F_{z,z}(x).F_{w,w}(x)\}, F_{z,z}(x)]$$

$$F_{z,w}\left(hx\right) \ \geq \ F_{z,w}\left(x\right)$$

Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we get z = w.

Therefore z is a unique common fixed point of P,Q,S and T.

By setting P = Q in theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary -

Corollary 3.2. Let P, S and T be self maps of a complete Menger space (X, F, t), where t is continuous tnorm, satisfying following conditions:

- 1. The pair (P,T) is occasionally weak compatible,
- 2. For all $x,y \in X$ and h > 1,

$$F_{Px,Py}\left(hx\right) \ \geq Min\left[\ F_{Sx,Ty}(x), \left\{\ F_{Sx,Px}\left(x\right).\ F_{Py,Ty}\left(x\right)\ \right\}, F_{Px,Sx}\left(x\right)\ \right]$$

If (P, S) is semi compatible pairs of reciprocally continuous maps. Then , P,S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

On taking P = Q and S = T, we get another corollary -

Corollary 3.3. Let P and S be self maps of a complete Menger space (X, \mathbf{F}, t) , where t is continuous tnorm, satisfying following conditions:

Vol. No.6, Issue No. 06, June 2018

www.ijates.com



1. For all $x,y \in X$ and h > 1,

$$F_{Px,Py}\left(hx\right) \ \geq Min\left[\ F_{Sx,Sy}\left(x\right),\left\{\ F_{Sx,Px}\left(x\right).F_{Py,Sy}\left(x\right)\right\},F_{Px,Sx}\left(x\right)\right]$$

If (P,S) is semi-compatible pairs of reciprocally continuous maps and occasionally weak compatible. Then, P and S have a unique common fixed point in X.

REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Thagafi, M.A. and Shahzad, N., Generalized I- nonexpensive selfmaps and invariant approximations, *Acta Math. Sinica*, 24(5) (2008) 867-876.
- 2. Altun, I. and Turkoglu, D., Some fixed point theorems on fuzzy metric spaces with implicit relations, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 23(1) (2008) 111-124.
- 3. Bouhadjera, H. and Godet-Thobie, C., Common fixed point theorems for pairs of sub-compatible maps, arXiv: 0906.3159v2, (2009).
- 4. Jain, A. and Singh, B., Common fixed point theorems in Menger space through compatible maps of type (A), *Chh. J. Sci.Tech.*, 2 (2005) 1-12.
- 5. Jungck, G. and Rhoades, B.E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian Pure Appl. Math.*, 29(3) (1998) 227-238.
- 6. Kumar, S. and Pant, B.D., A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space using implicit relatios, *Filomat*, 22(2) (2008) 43-52.
- 7. Kumar, S. and Chugh, R., Common fixed point theorems using minimal commutativity and reciprocal continuity conditions in metric spaces, *Sci. Math. Japan*, 56 (2002) 269-275.
- 8. Menger, K., Statistical metrics, Poc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 28 (1942) 535-537.
- 9. Mishra, S.N., Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-space, *Math. Japon.*, 36(2) (1991) 283-289.
- 10. Pant, B.D. and Chouhan, S., Common fixed point theorems for semi-compatibility maps using implicit relation, *Int. J. of Math. Analysis*, 3(28) (2009) 1389-1398.
- 11. Schweizer, B.and Sklar, A., Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North Holland (Amsterdam 1983).
- 12. Sehgal, V.M., Some fixed point theorems in functions analysis and probability, Ph.D. dissertation, Wayne State Univ. Michigan (1966).
- 13. Sehgal, V.M. and Bharucha-Reid, A.T., Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. Systems Theory*, 6(1972) 97-102.
- 14. Singh, S.L. and Pant, B.D., Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric space and extension to uniform spaces, *Honam. Math. J.*, (1984) 1-12.
- 15. Singh, S.L., Pant, B.D. and Talwar, R., Fixed points of weakly commuting mappings on Menger spaces, *Jnanabha*, 23 (1993) 115-122.